

# International Comparative Legal Guides



TM

## Trade Marks 2021

A practical cross-border insight into trade mark work

**10<sup>th</sup> Edition**

### Featuring contributions from:

Acapo AS  
Alburhan Law Firm  
Arent Fox LLP  
Arochi & Lindner  
Bird & Bird LLP  
Borenus Attorneys Ltd  
Cedar White Bradley  
DunnCox  
Ehrlich, Neubauer & Melzer (EN&M)  
FTCC Studio Legale Associato  
Fukami Patent Office, P.C.  
G. Elias & Co.  
Gorodissky & Partners

Gowling WLG  
GV IP Law Firm  
HSM IP Ltd.  
Inventa International  
Jones & Co.  
Koushos Korfiotis Papacharalambous LLC  
LexOrbis  
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
Murta Goyanes Advogados  
Nater Dallafor Rechtsanwälte AG  
NICO HALLE & Co. LAW FIRM  
NOVUS HM Legal Practitioners  
Obaidullah Asadi Legal Services Co., Ltd.

Ofo Ventura Intellectual Property & Litigation  
OLIVARES  
OSH Advocates, Solicitors & Legal Consultants  
Pham & Associates  
Preu Bohlig & Partner  
Simont Braun  
Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak  
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan  
TIPLo Attorneys-at-Law  
Voelkel Kataliakos Roussou Law Office  
Wintertons Legal Practitioners

**ICLG.com**

# TM

ISBN 978-1-83918-107-8  
ISSN 2049-3118

Published by

**glg** global legal group

59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL  
United Kingdom  
+44 207 367 0720  
info@glgroup.co.uk  
www.iclg.com

**Publisher**

James Strode

**Editor**

Jane Simmons

**Senior Editor**

Sam Friend

**Head of Production**

Suzie Levy

**Chief Media Officer**

Fraser Allan

**CEO**

Jason Byles

**Printed by**

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.

**Cover image**

ICLG.com

**Strategic Partners**



## International Comparative Legal Guides

### Trade Marks 2021

10<sup>th</sup> Edition

**Contributing Editor:**

**Nick Aries**

**Bird & Bird LLP**

**©2021 Global Legal Group Limited.**

**All rights reserved. Unauthorised reproduction by any means, digital or analogue, in whole or in part, is strictly forbidden.**

#### **Disclaimer**

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication.

This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

# United Kingdom

Bird & Bird LLP



Daniel Anti



Nick Aries

## 1 Relevant Authorities and Legislation

### 1.1 What is the relevant trade mark authority in your jurisdiction?

The relevant authorities are the UK Intellectual Property Office (the “UKIPO”), the High Court of England & Wales, the Court of Session in Scotland and the High Court of Northern Ireland.

### 1.2 What is the relevant trade mark legislation in your jurisdiction?

The pertinent legislation is the Trade Marks Act 1994 (the “TMA”).

## 2 Application for a Trade Mark

### 2.1 What can be registered as a trade mark?

The mark must be a sign capable of:

- (1) being represented in a manner which enables competent authorities and the public to determine the clear and precise subject matter of the protection afforded to its proprietor; and
- (2) distinguishing the goods or services of one undertaking from those of other undertakings.

A trade mark may consist of words (including personal names), designs, letters, numbers, colours, sounds or the shape of goods or their packaging.

### 2.2 What cannot be registered as a trade mark?

A trade mark may be refused registration on ‘absolute’ or ‘relative’ grounds (see sections 3 and 4 below).

### 2.3 What information is needed to register a trade mark?

The application must contain: a representation of the mark; the classes of goods and services for which the mark is being applied for; and administrative details such as the name and address of the applicant.

### 2.4 What is the general procedure for trade mark registration?

An application must first be submitted to the UKIPO. The

UKIPO will then assess whether the mark fails on absolute grounds. If it does, the examiner will issue a report detailing the reasons why. Applicants have a period of not less than one month to resolve issues raised. Following examination, the mark is published for a two-month opposition period (extendable to three months) and may be opposed based on relative grounds at this stage. Once the opposition period expires (or opposition proceedings conclude), the application will proceed to registration.

### 2.5 How is a trade mark adequately represented?

See question 2.1 above.

### 2.6 How are goods and services described?

The UKIPO uses the Nice Classification system which groups goods and services into 45 ‘classes’, each of which contains a list of pre-approved terms. Although each class has its own heading, these headings should not be relied upon and applicants should list each good or service for which they wish to register the mark within each class.

### 2.7 To the extent ‘exotic’ or unusual trade marks can be filed in your jurisdiction, are there any special measures required to file them with the relevant trade mark authority?

In the case of unusual marks such as 3D marks, this could be by way of photograph or computer-generated image and generally multiple views of the mark will be expected to be provided. However, the max file size that may be uploaded to the UKIPO is 20MB.

Sound marks must be submitted by an audio file reproducing the sound unless they are simple melodies in which case they may also be represented in musical notation. The max file size is 2MB.

Motion marks must be submitted as video files or a series or sequential still images. The maximum file size is 20MB.

### 2.8 Is proof of use required for trade mark registrations and/or renewal purposes?

No, proof of use is not required for a trade mark to be registered or for renewal purposes in the UK. However, to file a UK trade mark application the applicant must give a declaration that the trade mark is being used by the applicant, or with his or her consent, in relation to the goods or services applied for, or there is a *bona fide* intention that it will be used in this way.

### 2.9 What territories (including dependents, colonies, etc.) are or can be covered by a trade mark in your jurisdiction?

UK trade marks cover England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man.

### 2.10 Who can own a trade mark in your jurisdiction?

Any natural or legal person can own a trade mark in the UK.

### 2.11 Can a trade mark acquire distinctive character through use?

Yes, a trade mark can acquire distinctive character through use.

### 2.12 How long on average does registration take?

If no objections or oppositions are raised, registration of a mark takes approximately four months. If objections or oppositions are raised it can take considerably longer.

### 2.13 What is the average cost of obtaining a trade mark in your jurisdiction?

At the UKIPO, a standard online application for registration of a mark in one class is £170. An additional £50 is charged per additional class in the application. This excludes associated professional fees of a law firm/trade mark attorney.

### 2.14 Is there more than one route to obtaining a registration in your jurisdiction?

There are currently two routes: a UK trade mark issued by the UKIPO; or an international registration obtained through the Madrid Protocol designating the UK.

### 2.15 Is a Power of Attorney needed?

No, a Power of Attorney ("PoA") is not required.

### 2.16 If so, does a Power of Attorney require notarisation and/or legalisation?

This is not applicable.

### 2.17 How is priority claimed?

Priority is claimed at the application stage.

### 2.18 Does your jurisdiction recognise Collective or Certification marks?

Yes, such marks are recognised in the United Kingdom.

## 3 Absolute Grounds for Refusal

### 3.1 What are the absolute grounds for refusal of registration?

The following absolute grounds apply:

- the mark is not capable of distinguishing the goods and services of one undertaking from other undertakings, or the mark has not been represented in a clear and precise manner;
- the trade mark consists exclusively of a shape or other characteristic which:
  - results from the nature of the goods;
  - is necessary to obtain a technical function;
  - gives substantial value to the goods in question;
- the mark is devoid of distinctive character;
- the mark is descriptive of the goods and services in question;
- the mark is customary in the relevant trade;
- the mark is contrary to public policy or principles of morality;
- the mark is deceptive;
- use of the mark is prohibited by law;
- the application has been made in bad faith; or
- the mark consists of or contains protected emblems.

### 3.2 What are the ways to overcome an absolute grounds objection?

A response to an absolute grounds objection must be filed within two months of receipt of the examination report. How the objection is overcome will depend on the objection that has been raised. Many objections focus on unclear trade mark specifications (i.e. the list of goods and services) and can be overcome by clarifying the terms included in the specification.

Alternatively, if refusal is based on the mark being devoid of distinctive character or being descriptive of the goods or services in question, the applicant may seek to prove that the mark has acquired distinctiveness over time through use of the mark alongside the relevant goods or services.

### 3.3 What is the right of appeal from a decision of refusal of registration from the Intellectual Property Office?

Any decision from the UKIPO can be appealed to either the Appointed Person or the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and the Court of Session in Scotland.

### 3.4 What is the route of appeal?

There are two routes: (1) to an Appointed Person; or (2) to the High Court in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and the Court of Session in Scotland.

## 4 Relative Grounds for Refusal

### 4.1 What are the relative grounds for refusal of registration?

The following relative grounds apply:

- (1) The sign being applied for is identical with an earlier trade mark registered for identical goods or services.
- (2) The sign is identical or similar to an earlier trade mark registered for identical or similar goods or services and there is a likelihood of confusion with the earlier mark on the part of the average consumer.
- (3) The sign is identical with or similar to an earlier trade mark and the earlier mark has a reputation in the UK, and the use of the later mark without due cause would take unfair advantage of, or be detrimental to, the distinctive character or repute of the earlier trade mark.
- (4) The use of the sign could be prevented in the UK by virtue of any rule of law, in particular due to unregistered trade mark rights or other signs used in the course of trade in the UK, protection of designations of origin/geographical indicators or the laws of copyright.

#### 4.2 Are there ways to overcome a relative grounds objection?

It is possible to overcome relative grounds arguments by successfully defending the opposition raised, or reaching a compromise with the opponent, for example by amending the specification of the trade mark application so that it does not conflict with the third party's earlier rights. Note that the UKIPO does not *ex officio* raise relative grounds objections: it is down to third parties to oppose the application in question.

#### 4.3 What is the right of appeal from a decision of refusal of registration from the Intellectual Property Office?

See question 3.3 above.

#### 4.4 What is the route of appeal?

See question 3.4 above.

## 5 Opposition

#### 5.1 On what grounds can a trade mark be opposed?

A trade mark can be opposed on absolute and/or relative grounds.

#### 5.2 Who can oppose the registration of a trade mark in your jurisdiction?

Anyone may oppose a trade mark application on the basis of absolute grounds but only owners of earlier rights may oppose a registration on the basis of relative grounds.

#### 5.3 What is the procedure for opposition?

A third party may oppose a trade mark application within two months of its publication in the Trade Marks Journal. It is possible to extend this period by a further month by filing a 'Notice of threatened opposition'.

The applicant is given two months from the date of notification of the opposition to file their defence.

The opponent and applicant may then submit further evidence in turn before the hearing officer issues their decision.

Cooling-off periods for the discussion of settlement and suspensions of the proceedings are available on joint request of the parties.

In most instances, a hearing officer will give their decision on the opposition based on written submissions alone, but sometimes an oral hearing will be held.

## 6 Registration

#### 6.1 What happens when a trade mark is granted registration?

A registration certificate is issued.

#### 6.2 From which date following application do an applicant's trade mark rights commence?

Once registered, UK registered trade mark rights take effect from the date of filing.

#### 6.3 What is the term of a trade mark?

UK trade marks are valid for 10 years from the date of filing but can be renewed indefinitely.

#### 6.4 How is a trade mark renewed?

A trade mark may be renewed online by submitting a TM11 form at the UKIPO up to six months before or six months after the expiry date of the registration.

## 7 Registrable Transactions

#### 7.1 Can an individual register the assignment of a trade mark?

Yes, such registration is possible.

#### 7.2 Are there different types of assignment?

Assignments may be for the entire trade mark registration, i.e. for all goods/services for which the mark is registered; or assignments may be partial, i.e. for some but not all goods/services.

#### 7.3 Can an individual register the licensing of a trade mark?

Yes, such registration is possible.

#### 7.4 Are there different types of licence?

Licences may be exclusive or non-exclusive. Exclusive licences give the licensee an exclusive right to use the trade mark registration to the exclusion of all others, including the trade mark proprietor. A non-exclusive licence can be granted to any number of licensees.

#### 7.5 Can a trade mark licensee sue for infringement?

Yes, where the licence provides for this, or if the trade mark owner otherwise consents. In addition, where an exclusive

UKTM licence contains a provision granting the licensee the same rights and remedies as if it had been an assignment, the exclusive licensee can bring infringement proceedings in their own name.

#### 7.6 Are quality control clauses necessary in a licence?

Quality control clauses are necessary to prevent licensees from using marks in such a way that might make them vulnerable to revocation.

#### 7.7 Can an individual register a security interest under a trade mark?

Yes, such registration is possible.

#### 7.8 Are there different types of security interest?

As trade marks are considered intangible property, security usually takes the form of a mortgage or charge.

## 8 Revocation

#### 8.1 What are the grounds for revocation of a trade mark?

The following grounds apply:

1. No genuine use of the trade mark has been made by the TM owner or with its consent for five years following registration in relation to the goods/services for which the trade mark was registered, or there has been an interruption of such use for a consecutive period of five years, and in each case no proper reason for non-use.
2. As a result of acts or omissions by the trade mark owner, the mark has become the common name in the trade for goods/services for which it is registered.
3. As a result of the use made of it, the trade mark is liable to mislead the public as to the nature, quality or geographical origin of the goods or services.

#### 8.2 What is the procedure for revocation of a trade mark?

The applicant of the revocation action must submit a TM26(N) form (non-use grounds) or a TM26(O) form (other grounds) to the UKIPO. The UKIPO will serve this on the trade mark owner who will have two months to file a defence and counter-statement, which will in turn be served on the applicant.

Submissions and the filing of evidence will be timetabled subsequently.

Once a hearing has taken place or the submissions have been filed and reviewed, a hearing officer will issue a decision in writing.

#### 8.3 Who can commence revocation proceedings?

Any natural or legal person may commence revocation proceedings.

#### 8.4 What grounds of defence can be raised to a revocation action?

Where an action on the grounds of non-use has been filed, the

burden of proof rests with the owner to demonstrate genuine use or show that there are proper reasons for non-use.

Additionally, where the five-year non-use period has expired, but use of a trade mark resumes at least three months before an application for revocation is made, the registration shall not be revoked. This exception will not apply to any commencement of use which occurs within three months of an application for revocation, unless there is evidence that preparations for commencement of use began before the proprietor became aware of the application.

For other grounds of revocation beyond non-use, the defence consists of arguing that the ground has not been established.

#### 8.5 What is the route of appeal from a decision of revocation?

Appeal may be made either to the Appointed Person or to the High Court.

## 9 Invalidity

#### 9.1 What are the grounds for invalidity of a trade mark?

Registration of a mark in breach of absolute or relative grounds for refusal.

#### 9.2 What is the procedure for invalidation of a trade mark?

A TM26(I) form should be filed to begin invalidity proceedings. Both parties will then be given opportunities to submit evidence. A hearing may be requested, following which, the hearing officer will issue a decision.

#### 9.3 Who can commence invalidation proceedings?

Any person can bring invalidity proceedings based on absolute grounds for refusal, but only a proprietor or licensee of an earlier mark can bring proceedings on relative grounds.

#### 9.4 What grounds of defence can be raised to an invalidation action?

Acquiescence (for relative grounds) or acquired distinctiveness (for certain absolute grounds) can be raised. For other grounds of invalidity, the defence consists of arguing that the ground has not been established.

#### 9.5 What is the route of appeal from a decision of invalidity?

Appeal may be made either to an Appointed Person or to the High Court.

## 10 Trade Mark Enforcement

#### 10.1 How and before what tribunals can a trade mark be enforced against an infringer?

A UK trade mark may be enforced against an alleged infringer of the mark in the High Court, the Intellectual Property Enterprise Court (the "IPEC") or in certain county Courts.



### 10.2 What are the key pre-trial procedural stages and how long does it generally take for proceedings to reach trial from commencement?

The key pre-trial steps may include:

- exchange of pleadings;
- attending a Case Management Conference (“CMC”) to determine the timetable and any evidential issues;
- disclosure; and
- exchange of evidence and any expert reports.

The Civil Procedure Rules (“CPR”) Directive on Pre-Action Conduct sets out guidance for the parties, which includes ensuring that they understand each other’s positions, and making reasonable attempts to settle the proceedings.

On average, proceedings in the Chancery Division of the High Court will reach trial between 18 months and two years from commencement, though there is a shorter trial scheme which can take around nine months. The timetable in the IPEC is usually quicker.

### 10.3 Are (i) preliminary, and (ii) final injunctions available and if so on what basis in each case?

Preliminary (or ‘interim’) and final injunctions are available.

Preliminary injunctions require there to be a serious question to be tried, that the balance of convenience favours the claimant and that the claimant will suffer irreparable harm to their business if the defendant’s activities continue (or commence). The claimant must also act with urgency.

A Court will typically award a final injunction if infringement is established, but the Court exercises its discretion in each case.

### 10.4 Can a party be compelled to provide disclosure of relevant documents or materials to its adversary and if so how?

Yes, assuming those documents/materials fall within the scope of the ‘disclosure’ which the Court has directed. Disclosure varies depending on whether proceedings are issued in the IPEC or the High Court and what form of disclosure the Court has ordered. E.g., if the Court orders standard disclosure, a party must disclose documents which support or adversely affect his or another party’s case, which have been retrieved following a proportionate search. A party may also apply to the Court for specific disclosure of relevant documents, where it believes that the current disclosure is inadequate.

### 10.5 Are submissions or evidence presented in writing or orally and is there any potential for cross-examination of witnesses?

Written submissions are made in the form of a skeleton argument. These are supplemented by oral submissions. Written evidence is provided to the Court. That evidence will not be presented orally unless a witness is called for cross-examination.

### 10.6 Can infringement proceedings be stayed pending resolution of validity in another court or the Intellectual Property Office?

In theory, yes, but in practice the Court is reasonably unlikely to do so.

### 10.7 After what period is a claim for trade mark infringement time-barred?

After the expiry of six years from the date of the last infringement unless there has been deliberate concealment, fraud, or a procedural mistake.

### 10.8 Are there criminal liabilities for trade mark infringement?

Yes, criminal liabilities exist. In general, these offences relate to dealing in counterfeit and ‘grey market’ goods.

### 10.9 If so, who can pursue a criminal prosecution?

The Crown Prosecution Service or Trading Standards most commonly pursue such actions, but individual trade mark owners may also do so.

### 10.10 What, if any, are the provisions for unauthorised threats of trade mark infringement?

A person aggrieved by an unjustified threat of trade mark infringement proceedings may initiate proceedings seeking a declaration that the threat was unjustified, an injunction preventing the threats being continued, and damages in respect of any losses resulting from the threat. It is a defence to show that the threat was justified, i.e. that the acts alleged do in fact constitute infringement.

A communication contains a ‘threat’ if a reasonable person would understand that a registered trade mark exists and there is an intention to bring infringement proceedings in relation to an act done in the UK.

Threats made about use in relation to services, rather than goods, are not actionable.

## 11 Defences to Infringement

### 11.1 What grounds of defence can be raised by way of non-infringement to a claim of trade mark infringement?

Defendants can argue that the conditions for establishing liability are not present: e.g. use was with consent; is not liable to affect the functions of the trade mark; is not ‘in the course of trade’; is not in relation to goods/services; no likelihood of confusion, etc.

### 11.2 What grounds of defence can be raised in addition to non-infringement?

There are various grounds of defence, contained within sections 11, 11A and 12 of the TMA, including but not limited to: use of indications as to the characteristics of goods/services, use which is necessary to indicate the intended purpose of a product or service, use of an individual’s own name or address, in each case in accordance with honest practices; use of a later registered trade mark which would not be declared invalid in invalidity proceedings; use where the mark asserted is liable to revocation for non-use; and use in relation to goods already placed on the EEA with the trade mark owner’s consent (exhaustion). Other grounds include honest concurrent use and acquiescence/delay/estoppel.

## 12 Relief

### 12.1 What remedies are available for trade mark infringement?

The following remedies are available: declarations; injunctions; damages or an account of profits; delivery up and destruction of goods; or publication of the judgment.

### 12.2 Are costs recoverable from the losing party and, if so, how are they determined and what proportion of the costs can usually be recovered?

Normally, the unsuccessful party will be ordered to pay the successful party's costs. These costs are usually assessed after the trial and can be subject to a detailed assessment by the Court if the parties do not agree on an amount to be paid. In a case where Court-approved costs budgets are in place and not exceeded, the successful party can expect to recover the vast majority of its costs. Note that cost recovery in the IPEC is capped at set levels.

## 13 Appeal

### 13.1 What is the right of appeal from a first instance judgment and is it only on a point of law?

Appeals are only on a point of law. Permission is required from either the first instance judge or Court of Appeal. Such permission will be given where the Court considers that there is a real prospect of success or another compelling reason for the appeal to be heard.

### 13.2 In what circumstances can new evidence be added at the appeal stage?

The circumstances are very limited and normally limited to where the evidence could not have reasonably been obtained for use in the lower Court, and where the use of such evidence would have had a real impact on the result of the case.

## 14 Border Control Measures

### 14.1 Is there a mechanism for seizing or preventing the importation of infringing goods or services and, if so, how quickly are such measures resolved?

Yes, by filing a Customs notice. The mechanism usually resolves issues very quickly unless the importer objects to the destruction of the goods (fairly rare), in which case the trade mark owner may be required to bring Court proceedings for a declaration of infringement, which will slow the process down.

## 15 Other Related Rights

### 15.1 To what extent are unregistered trade mark rights enforceable in your jurisdiction?

Unregistered trade marks are enforceable in the UK through 'passing off' actions. The claimant must establish: that it owns 'goodwill' in the mark; that there has been a misrepresentation leading to deception of the public; and that this has caused the claimant damage.

### 15.2 To what extent does a company name offer protection from use by a third party?

Company names offer protection against third parties using the same or similar names, if the criteria for a passing off claim are met (see question 15.1 above). A company can also raise a dispute with the Company Names Tribunal about a similar third-party company name.

### 15.3 Are there any other rights that confer IP protection, for instance book title and film title rights?

Not unless the title is registered as a trade mark, meets the conditions for a passing off claim, or is itself protected by copyright (unlikely). There is no separate statutory regime.

## 16 Domain Names

### 16.1 Who can own a domain name?

Any legal or natural person.

### 16.2 How is a domain name registered?

A domain name may be registered via accredited registrars or registration service providers.

### 16.3 What protection does a domain name afford *per se*?

Unless passing off can be established, having a domain name itself offers very little protection against third-party use of a similar name, other than preventing others from registering the same domain name.

### 16.4 What types of country code top level domain names (ccTLDs) are available in your jurisdiction?

.co.uk and .uk ccTLDs are the most commonly used ccTLDs in the UK. However, others such as .org.uk, .cymru and .wales are also available.

### 16.5 Are there any dispute resolution procedures for ccTLDs in your jurisdiction and if so, who is responsible for these procedures?

Nominet is the registry for .uk domains. Nominet operates an online dispute resolution service in the event of a dispute relating to a .uk domain. If the case cannot be settled by mediation, an expert independent adjudicator will make a binding decision on the dispute.

## 17 Current Developments

### 17.1 What have been the significant developments in relation to trade marks in the last year?

The most significant developments have come about as a result of Brexit.

- **Registered EUTMs**
  - As of 1 January 2021, EU trade marks ("EUTMs") no longer cover the UK.



- On 1 January 2021, the UKIPO automatically created an equivalent UK trade mark for every existing EUTM registration free of charge (called a ‘comparable trade mark’).
- Rights owners can opt out if they do not wish to hold a comparable trade mark.
- **Pending EUTM Applications**
  - Owners of EUTM applications pending on 31 December 2020 can apply to register the same trade mark as a UK trade mark whilst keeping the earlier filing/priority/seniority date of the pending EUTM as long as they apply on or before 30 September 2021.
- **Pending Cancellation Actions**
  - Where an EUTM is subject to ongoing cancellation proceedings as at 31 December 2020, and is subsequently cancelled, the outcome shall be applied to the corresponding UK comparable mark save to the extent the grounds for cancellation do not apply in the UK.
  - A cancellation action against an EUTM based on UK rights alone will fall away and conclude. The same is true of ongoing opposition proceedings against an EUTM based solely on UK rights.
- **Use and Reputation**
  - Any use made of an EUTM before 1 January 2021, whether inside or outside the UK, will count as use of the comparable UK trade mark in relation to that period.
  - Where the period of use in question includes any time after 1 January 2021, only use of the comparable UK trade mark within the UK during that period will be taken into account.
  - The same approach is being used in relation to reputation.
- **Licences, security interests and assignments**
  - A licence or security interest recorded against an EUTM continues to have legal effect after 1 January 2021 in relation to the UK comparable right.
  - Where an EUTM was the subject of an assignment before 1 January 2021 that has not been recorded in the EUTM register, the comparable UK trade mark will be granted to the assignor. However, after 1 January 2021 the assignor or assignee may apply to the UKIPO to ensure the assignee is recorded as the registered owner of the comparable UK trade mark.
- **Jurisdictional arrangements and pending proceedings**
  - Pan-EU injunctions issued after 1 January 2021 will not apply to the UK.
  - Terms of a pan-EU injunction based on an EUTM that was in place as at 31 December 2020, prohibiting actions in the UK which would infringe an existing EUTM, will continue to apply in the UK.
  - Where UK Courts were acting as EU Courts in trade mark proceedings concerning an EUTM still pending as at 31 December 2020, the UK Court will retain jurisdiction to continue the case. But remedies granted by the UK Court will only cover the UK.

#### 17.2 Please list three important judgments in the trade marks and brands sphere that have been issued within the last 18 months.

In *Sky Plc v Skykick UK Ltd* [2020] EWHC 990 (Ch), the High Court held that Sky’s trade marks could not be declared wholly or partly invalid on the ground that the specifications lacked clarity and precision. The Court also held that there were terms within Sky’s registrations that were filed in bad faith. Therefore, the judge narrowed those terms within the specifications according

to what would be considered fair. Although Sky’s registrations were partially invalidated, Skykick nonetheless was held to have infringed Sky’s registrations in respect to ‘telecommunications services’ and ‘electronic mail services’. The impact of this judgment is that while broad specifications will still be permitted and cannot be invalidated based on the fact they are unclear/imprecise, there are avenues for a third party to challenge a registration with a broad specification using bad faith arguments where the owner has not proved use or intended use of the mark in relation to goods and services.

In *Jaguar Land Rover Limited v Ineos Industries Holdings Limited* [2020] EWHC 2130 (Ch), the High Court upheld the UKIPO’s decision that shape marks for the Land Rover Defender cars were not eligible for trade mark registration as they were not inherently distinctive, nor had they acquired distinctiveness. Although 20–40% of respondents interviewed in survey evidence recognised the picture they were shown as being a Land Rover Defender, that did not mean respondents recognised the shape itself as designating trade origin and therefore functioning as a trade mark. This case is a reminder that shape marks should depart significantly from the norms of the relevant sector in order to establish distinctiveness. It also reiterates that while survey evidence can be useful when examining distinctiveness of a mark, its value can be vulnerable to criticism. Applicants should therefore consider the benefit of using survey evidence before incurring significant time and cost, and design the survey itself with great care.

In *Red Bull GmbH v Big Horn UK Ltd & Others* [2020] EWHC 124 (Ch), the High Court held that the defendant’s ‘Big Horns’ signs were designed to free-ride on the reputation of Red Bull, and benefit from their marketing efforts to create a particular image associated with its trade marks. However, the Court did not find that the ‘Big Horn’ signs gave rise to a likelihood of confusion since the average consumer would perceive the ‘Big Horn’ products as cheaper or alternative versions of Red Bull’s products. The defendant’s sole director was also liable as a joint tortfeasor. This case demonstrates the value to a brand owner in including an ‘unfair advantage’ claim in case the ‘likelihood of confusion’ claim fails. It also illustrates circumstances in which a director might run the risk of being found liable as a tortfeasor.

#### 17.3 Are there any significant developments expected in the next year?

The most significant developments are likely to arise from the aftermath of Brexit. In particular, the extent to which the appellate Courts in the UK might diverge from any established law founded on EU law. There is also an open question on how the law of exhaustion will be shaped in the UK following Brexit.

#### 17.4 Are there any general practice or enforcement trends that have become apparent in your jurisdiction over the last year or so?

The increase in UK trade mark applications has continued. This is largely due to applicants filing UK applications alongside EU applications since EUTMs no longer cover the UK after 31 December 2020.

There is currently asymmetry between the exhaustion positions with regard to goods moving from the UK to the EEA vs goods moving in the opposite direction, and this is likely to give rise to disputes between brand owners and parallel importers.

Where it might previously have sought a pan-EU injunction, a brand owner seeking enforcement remedies in European countries including the UK will now need to file parallel litigation: a case in a remaining EU Member State and a case in the UK. This could give rise to increased UK trade mark disputes.



**Daniel Anti** is an associate in Bird & Bird's Intellectual Property department, specialising in brand management with a particular focus on trade marks and designs.

Daniel has experience in assisting clients across a broad range of industries and stages, advising on a brand's full lifecycle; from clearance searches, brand audits, development and expansion, registration, multi-jurisdiction filing projects, regulatory compliance through to licensing and dispute resolution.

Daniel's approach is to provide clients with thorough and transparent advice which is aligned with their business strategy and ambitions and exposes himself to the realities of clients' industries to understand how they can thrive in their market in both the short and long term.

He has been a contributor to the Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys Journal commenting on up-to-date case law and is also a contributor to Bird & Bird's international publications *BrandWrites* and *DesignWrites*.

**Bird & Bird LLP**  
12 New Fetter Lane  
London EC4A 1JP  
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 207 415 6000  
Email: [daniel.anti@twobirds.com](mailto:daniel.anti@twobirds.com)  
URL: [www.twobirds.com](http://www.twobirds.com)



**Nick Aries** is a partner and co-head of our representative (non-US law) office in San Francisco. He advises on and coordinates European and UK IP law matters for US-based companies.

Nick is adept at identifying and advising on IP issues in the digital economy, including copyright and trade mark questions raised by online services and social media. He also advises on multi-jurisdictional IP litigation and strategy. Alongside this, his practice covers transactional IP work such as licensing (particularly, brand licensing arrangements), and advice on the IP aspects of large-scale corporate restructures and reorganisations. Nick has been recognised by *World Trademark Review* as one of the World's Leading trade mark Professionals.

Nick's UK litigation experience covers trade mark infringement and passing off, breach of licence/coexistence agreement, trade secrets, and designs. Example UK cases include *Merck KGaA v MSD*, *Maier v Asos*, *Kenexa v Alberg*, *Codemasters Software v ACO* and *Daimler v Sany*.

**Bird & Bird (America) LLP**  
535 Mission Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
USA

Tel: +1 415 463 7468  
Email: [nick.aries@twobirds.com](mailto:nick.aries@twobirds.com)  
URL: [www.twobirds.com](http://www.twobirds.com)

Bird & Bird has led the way in protecting the ideas that have made some of the world's greatest companies successful, and today we are recognised as a global leader in intellectual property.

Particularly commended for its strength in IP strategy and litigation, it is this first-class reputation that allows the firm to attract and retain world leading advisors and litigators.

The majority of the firm's work is cross-border in nature, and it is regularly called to advise on ground-breaking trade mark cases. Due to its geographic spread, it provides invaluable experience on the approach and attitude of the Courts in different jurisdictions, which enables it to devise and tailor litigation strategies accordingly.

Not only does the firm have the range and depth of expertise, but with more than 300 specialist lawyers across 29 offices, it has numbers in force.

[@twobirdsIP](http://www.twobirds.com)

# Bird & Bird

# ICLG.com

## Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds  
Anti-Money Laundering  
Aviation Finance & Leasing  
Aviation Law  
Business Crime  
Cartels & Leniency  
Class & Group Actions  
Competition Litigation  
Construction & Engineering Law  
Consumer Protection  
Copyright  
Corporate Governance  
Corporate Immigration  
Corporate Investigations  
Corporate Tax  
Cybersecurity  
Data Protection  
Derivatives  
Designs  
Digital Business

Digital Health  
Drug & Medical Device Litigation  
Employment & Labour Law  
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments  
Environment & Climate Change Law  
Environmental, Social & Governance Law  
Family Law  
Fintech  
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes  
Franchise  
Gambling  
Insurance & Reinsurance  
International Arbitration  
Investor-State Arbitration  
Lending & Secured Finance  
Litigation & Dispute Resolution  
Merger Control  
Mergers & Acquisitions  
Mining Law  
Oil & Gas Regulation

Patents  
Pharmaceutical Advertising  
Private Client  
Private Equity  
Product Liability  
Project Finance  
Public Investment Funds  
Public Procurement  
Real Estate  
Renewable Energy  
Restructuring & Insolvency  
Sanctions  
Securitisation  
Shipping Law  
Technology Sourcing  
Telecoms, Media & Internet  
Trade Marks  
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms